

OCT 21 2013 mmb

THOMAS G BRUTON  
CLERK, U S DISTRICT COURT

12 CR 94

Date: October 13-2013

Alleged case # 12CR94 Alleged case title: USA V. MICHAEL R. VILLAGRAN

TO Respondents: Charles R. Norgle, William Ridgway, Michelle Petersen, Ralph J. Schindler Jr.FROM proponent: Miguel-Raymond: Villagran, secured party, Beneficiary

1. My name is Miguel-Raymond: of the Villagran Family, Michael as I am most commonly known, duly Secured party, ucc Doc. # 2013-03-032-035, General Guardian, General Executor, Beneficiary and sole Security Title owner, *unrebuttable, rebutted, nunc pro tunc, praeterea preterea;*
2. I am over the majority age of 18 years, and with due standing, authority and authorization, all rights reserved, without recourse, without prejudice, I knowingly, willingly, intentionally make and issue this Sworn Affidavit of Truth & Rebuttal of 12 presumptions of canon Law 3228 (i-xii) for (the public Record) the alleged Judge, alleged prosecutors and alleged Defense counsel in alleged case No. 12CR94, on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2013, and I am competent to say the following is "True, correct and complete":
3. I rebut presumption of public record by declaring this is a matter for the public Record not to be presumed to be a private matter under private Bar Guild rules.
4. I rebut presumption of public Service by declaring this is not a private matter and is to be part of the public Record under constitutional safeguards and that the Respondents involved in the matter are deemed to be in public service as public servants.
5. I rebut presumption of public oath by demand Respondents to produce evidence they are functioning lawfully under their public oaths in contravention to their secret private Guild oaths, as they are in fact public servants working on behalf of this public matter and are undeniably required to state all sworn oaths upon my demand.

(page one of four)



6. I rebut the presumption of immunity and declare Respondents acting as an agent for the alleged corporate government are in fact and law, wholly personally liable for all their acts or omissions on behalf of the alleged now foreclosed (OPPT Foreclosure 12/25/12, [www.peoplestrust1776.org](http://www.peoplestrust1776.org)) corporate **US** FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
7. I rebut the presumption of summons by declaring on the public Record that "I DO NOT ACCEPT ANY OFFERS TO CONTRACT VIA ANY SUMMONS OR COURT DOCKET ENTRIES DONE AND MADE BY THE RESPONDENTS, TO WIT, the alleged Judge in this public matter; AND I do not CONSENT TO ANY PROCEEDINGS, PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE, in this matter or any other matters of the private BAR Guild, Thus CONSENT, PERSONAM, and SUBJECT MATTER Jurisdiction is null and void, ab initio on the public Record.
8. I rebut the presumption of custody by declaring and challenging Respondents to provide the evidence I have not declared and previously adopted all OPPT UCC Declarations OF FACTS, On the public Record, Via OPPT COURTESY NOTICE and INVOICE, Via ex parte, and that I am not Real Flesh and Blood, Soul in bodied, and that I am not Secured party via OPPT UCC filings, unrebutted, restated, ratified, here as if set in full original public registration and public Filing, without prejudice, without recourse, for only property or "things" can/may be detained by court elected "Custodians," therefore I Demand To BE Released as I am a living Soul and in no manner may I be detained in custody by any Custodian, past, present or future.
9. I rebut the presumption of court of guardians by declaring I am My own Guardian, Executor and Beneficiary and no clerk of court / "guardian" may presume to have any power or invalid, implied authority or FALSE control over my mind, body, or soul, inclusive of my duly secured value therefrom.



10. I rebut the presumption of Court of trustees by declaring, stating and confirming on the public Record I am not a government employee, I am not acting in the role of public servant, and I reject, deny and null and void the office of trustee, ab initio.
11. I rebut presumption of government as Executor/Beneficiary by again declaring on the public Record that I am Guardian, Executor and sole Beneficiary, and Challenge Respondents to produce a duly verified sworn declaration stating and affirming the contrary to demonstrate I am not Guardian, Executor and Beneficiary at all times.
12. I rebut presumption OF Executor De Son Tort by challenging and demanding Respondents produce a duly verified sworn declaration stating and affirming they are rightful, lawful, legal Executor and can have me detained, or forced in a psych evaluation to demonstrate I am falsely asserting the role of Executor in this public matter.
13. I rebut presumption OF incompetence by declaring that I am fully competent and absolutely no one may deem me to be incompetent and I hereby demand Respondents produce duly verified sworn declarations of Fact and rebuttal stating and affirming the contrary to demonstrate I am 100% incompetent.
14. I rebut presumption of Guilt by re-declaring I am not accepting or consenting any offers to contract or any proceedings in your private Guild court and in noway may I be deemed to have entered any court proceeding on my own via VOLUNTARY CONSENT AS I WAS/AM Being compelled to Appear and attend against My God-given Free-will in direct defiance and Violation of Canons OF Law # 3267, # 2055, # 2056, # 2057, unrebuttable, ab initio; under no Circumstance have Respondents received My consent to proceed SUMMARILY, to convict, TO ISSUE JUDGMENT, OR TO SENTENCE, as the court lacks CONSENT, PERSONAM and SUBJECT MATTER Jurisdiction. This is undeniable Material evidence OF "FRAUD ON THE COURT," "PROSECUTORIAL FRAUD" With the "ABSENCE OF JURISDICTION," unrebuttable, ab initio, and I demand you prove otherwise ~~(rebuttal of Evidence)~~ pursuant to APA 5 USC Section 556 (D) Burden of proof;
15. Respondents have 10 days to answer substantively pursuant to public policy. If you have a right to assert, it is your duty to speak. Your Silence is acquiescence and, Estoppel is in effect. Only a duly verified sworn response will be accepted due to the seriousness of the "Public Matter."



15 (CONT)

Without Prejudice All Rights Reserved

UCC 1-207

UCC 1-103

UCC 3-415

UCC 1-308,

By:

*Miguel-Raymond Villagran*

Miguel-Raymond: Villagran, Agent

Secured party UCC Doc # 2013032035

Guardian, Executor, Beneficiary

Date: 10/13/2013

(Witness) Without Prejudice All Rights Reserved

UCC 1-207

UCC 1-103

UCC 1-308

UCC 3-415

*Russell Gordon*

Russell Gordon

Date: 10-13, 2013

under the penalty of perjury of the laws of these United States of America of the organic Republic, Original Jurisdiction, I declare and affirm by My Signature and Seal the foregoing is true, correct and complete to the best of My knowledge and belief and not meant to mislead.

"Without Prejudice All Rights Reserved"

UCC 1-207, 1-308, 1-103,

UCC 3-415

By: *Miguel-Raymond Villagran*

Miguel-Raymond: Villagran, Agent

Date: 10/13/2013

(PAGE FOUR OF FOUR)



## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I Miguel-Raymond Villagran have Mailed original signed copies, with a total # of pages being 16<sup>Inail</sup>, of duly verified sworn declaration of fact and truth and rebuttal to following on Oct 13<sup>th</sup>, 2013.

US District Court (Alleged Judge Charles R. Nangle SR)  
US District Clerk Thomas G. Bruton  
219 S. Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604

(Alleged AUSA  
Prosecutors) William Ridgway and Michelle Petersen  
219 S. Dearborn Street 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, IL 60604

(Alleged Defense Attorney)  
Ralph Joseph Schindler Junior  
53 W. Jackson Blvd Suite 818  
Chicago, Illinois 60604

NOTICE TO CLERK: Mail copy to address below.

Miguel-Raymond Villagran, Secured party, Beneficiary  
Reg# 44297-424

METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTER

71 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60605

(Back cover)



## NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT

This is to notify the alleged court that I, Michael-Raymond Villagran have mailed signed original copies of verified sworn affidavit and rebuttal with total # of pages to the public Record docket # 12CR94, on October 13, 2013 using prison Mail at METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTER, pursuant to the 'prison Mail box rule,' "an alleged incarcerated proponent is deemed to have filed a court document on the date that I delivered the documents to the prison officials for actual Mailing," see Houston v. Lack, 487 US 266, 276 (1988).

Under the penalty of perjury of the laws of these United States of America of the Republic, I declare and affirm by my Signature and Seal the foregoing is true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief and not meant to Mislead.

without prejudice All Rights Reserved  
ucc 1-207, 1-308, 3-415, 1-103  
By: Michael-Raymond Villagran, Agent

Date: October 13, 2013

Michael-Raymond Villagran

( Front cover )